

REMARKS

Claims 1, 16, 31 and 46 have been amended. No claims have been canceled. No new claims have been added. Claims 1-5, 7-20, 22-35, and 37-46 are pending.

Claims 1, 16, 31, and 46 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Suzuki (U.S. Patent No. 6,493,743). Claims 2-5, 7-10, 12-15, 17-20, 22-25, 27-30, 32-35, 37-40, 42-45, 47-54, and 56-59 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki in view of Abkowitz (U.S. Publication 2001/0041973). Claims 11, 26, 41, and 55 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki in view of Abkowitz and Paroz (U.S. Patent No. 6,587,125). These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Claims 1 and 31 recite, *inter alia*, “downloading an application from the host device to the communication device; providing a user interface for said application only on said host device; and executing said application on said communication device.”

Claim 16 recites, *inter alia*, “means for downloading an application from the host device to the communication device; means for providing a user interface for said application only on said host device.”

Claim 41 recites, *inter alia*, wherein said host device is configured to download an application from the host device to the communication device; provide a user interface for said application only on said host device.”

Suzuki is directed to a PDA workspace interface using application icons. Referring to Fig. 1, Suzuki discloses coupling the PDA 1 via a communications network N to a host 20. The PDA is associated with a small capacity memory device 6, while the host is associated with a large capacity storage device 26. Applications and data may be downloaded from the host 20 to the PDA 1. Column 5, lines 26-31. Once an application for the PDA 1 has been downloaded from the host 20, the application can be executed on the PDA 1. *Id.*, at lines 30-31. Suzuki discloses that the results of the application can be displayed on the PDA 1. Column 5, lines 37-39. The results of the application can also be stored on the host PC 20. *Id.*

The Office Action states at page 2 that “Suzuki still further discloses in column 6: lines 50-61 providing a user interface for the application on the host device.” However, as noted above, Suzuki discloses at column 5, lines 37-39 that the results of the application are displayed on the PDA 1 and stored on the host PC 20. Further, column 6, lines 50-61 merely discloses some of the hardware components (e.g., CPU 21, INPUT DEVICE 22, and RAM 23) of the host PC 20. *See, e.g.*, column 3, lines 55-56 (describing Fig. 3 as a block diagram of the host device). The paragraph cited by the Office Action is related to, and immediately follows the paragraph at column 6, lines 35-49. Both paragraphs, as well as next paragraph beginning at column 6, line 62, describe the operation of the host PC device 20. It is respectfully submitted that there is no disclosure of placing a user interface on the host PC 20 for an application executing on the PDA 1. Accordingly, Suzuki fails to disclose or suggest the above quoted limitations of independent claims 1, 16, 31, and 41.

The Office Action additionally cites to Paroz and Abkowitz. Paroz discloses a remote control system in which the user interface of a first computing device is analyzed and a logically equivalent user interface is created on a second computing device. Abkowitz discloses using one device to configure and emulate web site content to be displayed on another device. Paroz and Abkowitz disclose systems in which one application is associated with two user interfaces on two devices. Neither Paroz nor Abkowitz, whether taken individually, in combination, or in combination with Suzuki, disclose or suggest the above quoted limitations of independent claims 1, 16, 31, and 41.

Accordingly, claims 1, 16, 31, and 41 are believed to be allowable over the prior art of record. The depending claims (i.e., claims 2-5, 7-15, 17-20, 22-30, 32-35, 37-40, and 42-46) are also believed to be allowable for at least the same reasons as the independent claims. Applicants submit that the application is in condition for allowance, for which early action is requested.

CONCLUSION

In light of the amendments contained herein, Applicants submit that the application is in condition for allowance, for which early action is requested.

Please charge any fees or overpayments that may be due with this response to Deposit Account No. 17-0026.

Respectfully submitted,



Dated: August 16, 2005

By: _____

Christopher S. Chow
Reg. No. 46,493
(858) 845-3249

QUALCOMM Incorporated
Attn: Patent Department
5775 Morehouse Drive
San Diego, California 92121-1714
Telephone: (858) 658-5787
Facsimile: (858) 658-2502